

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,961                     | 04/02/2004  | Franklin D Cox       | 2960                    |                  |
| 7590 08/25/2004                |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Franklin D Cox                 |             |                      | GELLNER, JEFFREY L      |                  |
| Hazel L Cox<br>P. O. Box 22384 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 35 Cibola Circle               |             |                      | 3643                    |                  |
| Santa Fe, NM 87505             |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application   | on No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/708,90   |  | COX ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examine   |  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Jeffrey L.  | Gellner  | 3643   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for the property of the Office later than three months after the middle patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no evereply within the state ind will apply and wature, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be tim<br>utory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>lication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous of the oath or declaration is objected to by the  | accepted or b)<br>the drawing(s) t<br>rection is requir                                 | ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Information  | t(s)  be of References Cited (PTO-892)  be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/  be No(s)/Mail Date  |   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

This application is informal in the arrangement of the specification. Applicants should review the specification of the US art used and cited in this office action as examples of specifications.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This claim is narrative in form and replete with functional language. The structure, that is the parts that make up the invention, must be clearly and positively specified and not what the invention does. The claim must be in one sentence form only. Note the claim language of the patents cited in this office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 3643

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gidge (US 5,622,004) in view of Hope (EP 0012011 A1).

As to claim 1, Gidge discloses a self watering plant container (Figs. 1-13) comprising a pot (2 and 3 of Fig. 4) divided into upper and lower sections (bottom of 2 in Fig. 4 divides the pot into two sections); soil in the upper section (5 of Fig. 4; col. 3 lines 19-22) and a water reservoir in the lower section (8 of Fig. 4); and, a wick (6 of Fig. 4) in contact with both sections, the wick made of a plastic tube (col. 1 lines 55-60) with peat moss therein (col. 1 lines 49-54). Not disclosed are fill and overflow tubes. Hope, however, discloses a self watering container with inlet and outlet tubes (see abstract and Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the container of Gidge by adding inlet and outlet tubes as disclosed by Hope so as to allow for easy watering without the soil becoming water saturated.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Flasch Jr. and Stadelhofer disclose in the prior art various divided flower pots.

Courtright discloses in the prior art a moss wick. Akiyama discloses in the prior art a divided pot with inlet and outlet tubes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The

Art Unit: 3643

Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner Primary Examiner